

*Sharing Professional Viewpoint*

**Rural Carbon Management: A Keystone for Climate Resilience  
and Economic Revitalization**

Peng Liu

Department of Environmental Design

Business School of Chongqing City Vocational College

Chongqing University of Science and Technology

Chongqing, China

Email: liupeng19850809@gmail.com

**1. Opening**

Rural carbon management is a keystone for climate resilience and economic revitalization. As the shadow of climate change looms ever larger, casting a stark light on our collective future, the quest for innovative and lasting solutions has never been more urgent. Within this critical search, rural carbon management stands out as a beacon of hope—a largely untapped reservoir of potential that not only offers a formidable shield against the advancing threats of climate change but also a pathway to economic rejuvenation and enduring resilience for rural communities. This strategy, ripe with promise, invites us to rethink our approach to environmental stewardship and rural development, presenting a unique opportunity to harmonize the health of our planet with the prosperity of its inhabitants.

**2. Rural Carbon Management**

Rural carbon management refers to practices aimed at reducing carbon emissions and enhancing carbon sequestration in rural settings (Tang & Luo, 2014). It encapsulates a blend of agricultural innovations, forestry management, and land use changes designed to capture atmospheric carbon. This strategy is pivotal, given that rural areas are both vulnerable to climate change and crucial for food security. Through practices, such as agroforestry, conservation agriculture, and peatland restoration, rural carbon management offers a pathway to sustain livelihoods while contributing to global climate goals.

The historical narrative of rural carbon management is punctuated with initiatives that demonstrate its potential to transform both landscapes and livelihoods. A more contemporary example, yet deeply impactful, is the case of Costa Rica's Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) program initiated in the late 1990s (Farley & Costanza, 2010). This innovative approach aimed to address rampant deforestation and biodiversity loss by financially compensating landowners for maintaining their forests, which play a crucial role in carbon sequestration. The PES program facilitated a paradigm shift in how natural resources were valued and managed, leading to significant increases in forest cover, enhanced biodiversity, and the stabilization of ecosystems capable of sequestering large amounts of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. This initiative not only underscored the

economic viability of conserving natural landscapes but also set a precedent for how rural carbon management can be integrated into national development strategies, contributing to Costa Rica's reputation as a leader in environmental sustainability (Allen et al., 2017). The recent commitment by the European Union to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050 underscores the role of rural carbon management. The EU's strategy includes significant investments in rural areas, focusing on sustainable agriculture, forest management, and bioenergy (Smith et al., 2001; Scarlat et al., 2015; Pe'er et al., 2020), which are integral to the bloc's broader climate ambitions.

### **3. The Analysis of Rural Carbon Management**

The analysis of rural carbon management reveals significant challenges that obstruct its adoption and effectiveness, rooted in technological, financial, sociopolitical, and ecological factors. Technological barriers include the high costs and complexity of advanced equipment, exacerbated by inadequate infrastructure like limited internet access (Sharma et al., 2021). Financially, the substantial initial investments for sustainable practices and the slow realization of benefits hinder participation among smallholder farmers, with existing mechanisms like carbon credits often out of reach (Zeweld et al., 2017). Furthermore, a lack of knowledge and awareness about carbon management practices amongst rural communities, coupled with fragmented and misaligned policies, further complicates implementation. Ecological diversity demands tailored strategies, adding another layer of complexity (Saikanth et al., 2023). Overcoming these obstacles to tap into the strategy's full potential for climate resilience and economic revitalization requires integrated efforts from all sectors of society. Challenges in the commercialization of biomass in Malaysia, categorized into technical, financial, social awareness barriers, and misunderstanding and gaps between stakeholders, provide insight into similar challenges faced in rural carbon management globally (Mansor et al., 2018). The recognition of these barriers and recommendations for overcoming them, including technology innovation, logistics management, and policy and enforcement, can be applied to enhance the adoption of rural carbon management practices. Efforts should be made to address these barriers comprehensively, incorporating technological innovation, financial support mechanisms, enhanced knowledge dissemination, and policy alignment to facilitate the broader implementation of rural carbon management strategies for sustainable development and climate resilience.

### **4. Potential Solutions**

As we pivot from understanding the intricate barriers to exploring potential solutions, it becomes evident that bridging the gap between current challenges and effective strategies in rural carbon management necessitates not just innovative thinking but also a collaborative effort that spans global, national, and local levels. This transition highlights

the critical role of policy frameworks, financial mechanisms, and community engagement in converting the theoretical potential of rural carbon management into tangible environmental and economic benefits. Existing solutions, including the United Nations' REDD+ initiative and various national agricultural subsidy programs, have been pivotal in aiming to incentivize carbon-positive practices in rural landscapes. These programs are designed to support reforestation, sustainable agriculture, and conservation efforts that contribute directly to carbon sequestration and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. The REDD+ initiative, in particular, has played a significant role in providing financial compensations to countries and communities that are able to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation, fostering a direct link between carbon management and economic incentives (Schweikart et al., 2022).

To effectively harness the benefits of rural carbon management, a multifaceted approach is required that addresses the unique challenges faced by rural communities. This approach involves crafting strategies that are finely attuned to the specific ecological and socio-economic contexts of these communities, ensuring that interventions are not only relevant but also substantially beneficial. Key to this is enhancing access to financial resources, such as microcredits and carbon credit markets, which are vital for motivating the widespread adoption of sustainable carbon management practices (Bai et al., 2022). Additionally, there's a pressing need to invest in capacity building and education tailored for rural communities. This investment should focus on imparting knowledge about sustainable practices, technology use, and how to effectively engage with markets, thereby empowering these communities to actively participate in and benefit from carbon management efforts (Li et al., 2021). Furthermore, advocating for the integration of coherent policies that recognize and support rural carbon management within national and international climate strategies is crucial. Such policies should aim to bridge the gap between large-scale environmental goals and the ground-level contributions of rural areas, ensuring that the latter are adequately supported and acknowledged in the broader fight against climate change.

The creation and dissemination of financial innovations and services, including carbon finance, offer promising pathways to leverage investments in sustainable and low-carbon projects in rural areas, thus aligning financial sector initiatives with climate resilience and sustainable development goals (Brahmi et al., 2023). Collectively, these solutions represent a comprehensive pathway toward leveraging rural carbon management for environmental sustainability and the socio-economic upliftment of rural communities. Enhanced participation in carbon markets, coupled with targeted financial and educational support, can unlock the potential of rural communities to contribute significantly to climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts, thereby achieving sustainable development and improved livelihoods.

## 5. Closing

As the global community grapples with the imperatives of climate action and sustainable development, the role of rural carbon management becomes increasingly indispensable. This strategy not only offers a means to combat climate change but also a vehicle for rural revitalization, blending ecological sustainability with economic resilience. The question moving forward is how we can collectively harness and amplify the potential of rural areas in the climate narrative, transforming challenges into opportunities for growth and resilience. The future of rural carbon management is not just about carbon—it's about reimagining rural landscapes as thriving, resilient communities at the forefront of the global climate solution.

## 6. The Author

Liu Peng, PhD, Associate Professor, currently works at Chongqing University of Science and Technology, leading the Department of Environmental Design. The author serves as an expert for the Chongqing Municipal Government think tank, and as a peer reviewer for several journals including *INDERSCIENCE*, *Journal of Autonomous Intelligence*, *Art Design Research*. His main research focuses are on sustainable design management and the design of low-carbon environments in urban and rural areas. In recent years, he has published numerous high-level papers in SCI, EI, and Chinese core journals, authored two academic monographs, edited five textbooks. He has registered three utility patents in the field of sustainable design, and has led three provincial-level projects to exert impact on the field of sustainable environmental design management.

## 7. References

- Allen, K. & Vásquez, S. (2017). Forest cover, development, and sustainability in Costa Rica: Can one policy fit all? *Land Use Policy*, 2017, 67, 212-221.
- Bai, R. & Lin, B. (2022). Access to credit and green innovation. *Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM)*, 2022, 30(1), 1-21.
- Brahmi, M., Esposito, L., Parziale, A., Dhayal, K., Agrawal, S., Giri, A. & Loan, N. (2023). The role of greener innovations in promoting financial inclusion to achieve carbon neutrality: An integrative review. *Economies*, 2023, 11(7), 194.
- Farley, J. & Costanza, R. (2010). Payments for ecosystem services: From local to global. *Ecological Economics*, 2010, 69, 2060-2068.
- Li, F., Xu, X., Li, Z., Du, P. & Ye, J. (2021). Can low-carbon technological innovation truly improve enterprise performance? The case of Chinese manufacturing companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2021, 293, 125949.

Mansor, A., Theo, W., Lim, J., Ani, F., Hashim, H. & Ho, W. (2018). Potential commercialisation of biocoke production in Malaysia—A best evidence review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2018, 90*, 636-649.

Pe'er, G., Bonn, A., Bruelheide, H., Dieker, P., Eisenhauer, N., Feindt, P., Hagedorn, G., Hansjürgens, B., Herzog, I., Lomba, A., Marquard, E., Moreira, F., Nitsch, H., Oppermann, R., Perino, A., Röder, N., Schleyer, C., Schindler, S., Wolf, C., Zinngrebe, Y. & Lakner, S. (2020). Action needed for the EU Common Agricultural Policy to address sustainability challenges. *People and Nature (Hoboken, N.J.), 2020, 2*, 305-316.

Saikanth, D. R. K., Kishore, A. J., Sadineni, T., Singh, V., Upadhyay, L., Kumar, S. & Panigrahi, C. K. (2023). A review on exploring carbon farming as a strategy to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 2023, 35(23)*, 380-388.

Scarlat, N., Dallemand, J., Monforti-Ferrario, F. & Nita, V. (2015). The role of biomass and bioenergy in a future bioeconomy: Policies and facts. *Environmental Development, 2015, 15*, 3-34.

Schweikart, M., Mertz, O. & Müller, D. (2022). Adaptive approaches to REDD+ are needed for countries with high forest cover and low deforestation rates. *Environmental Research Letters, 2022, 17(11)*, 114011.

Sharma, M., Kaushal, R., Kaushik, P. & Ramakrishna, S. (2021). Carbon farming: Prospects and challenges. *Sustainability, 2021, 13(19)*, 11122.

Smith, P., Goulding, K., Smith, K., Powlson, D., Smith, J., Falloon, P. & Coleman, K. (2001). Enhancing the carbon sink in European agricultural soils: Including trace gas fluxes in estimates of carbon mitigation potential. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 2001, 60*, 237-252.

Tang, Q. & Luo, L. (2014). Carbon management systems and carbon mitigation. *Australian Accounting Review, 2014, 24*, 84-98.

Zeweld, W., Huylenbroeck, G., Tesfay, G. & Speelman, S. (2017). Smallholder farmers' behavioural intentions towards sustainable agricultural practices. *Journal of Environmental Management, 2017, 187*, 71-81.